Influx of foreigners from Bangladesh via Murshidabad and Malda districts

2148. SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that influx of foreigners from Bangladesh into India through the district of Mur-shiadabad and Malda is continuing unabated; and

(b) if so, the measures being taken by Government to prevent such infiltration of people from Bangladesh?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL SECURITY (SHRI ARUN NEHRU): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Assam Chief Minister's suggestion for amending illegal Migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Act

2149. SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any suggestions from the Chief Minister of Assam for making amendments to the Illegal Migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Act:

(b) if so the details thereof; and

(c) what decision has been taken by the Central Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF STATES {SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a} and (b> The State Government of Assam have submitted a proposal to amend the Illegal Migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Act. 1983, suggesting, *inter alia:*

(i) that, each Tribunal should have only one member as against three members at present:

(ii) that, in case of private ?om-olaints the provisions (ay requiring the aoolicant to be residing within 3 KMs from the place of residence of the person in relation to whom the application for being declared an illegal migrant is made, and

(b) requiring payment of fee of Rs. 25/- with every complaint, should be deleted; and

(iii) that, in case where a question arises whether a person is an illegal migrant or not the burden of proof should lie on such person.

(c) The proposal is under consider ation.

Plantations under the Social Forestry Schemes

2150. SHRI MOSTAFA BIN QUASEM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) what are the details of plantations, distribution of seedlings under the Centrally sponsored social forestry schemes, including rural fuelwood plantations in 1984-85, State-wise:

(b) what are the details of utilization certificates received before releasing of further grants;

(e) what are the details of physical achievements i.e. the figures shown by the States and the actual figures:

(d) what ar$_e$ the details of funds diverted by States to other purposes; and

(e) what are the names of States which have received the grants but are not implementing the schemes?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE M^{T}NISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI Z. R ANSARI): (a) State-wise details of plantations raised, distribution of seedlings, funds allocated and th* fund's released are g'ven in the en* closed Statement-I (See below)

(b> The details of utilisation certificates received are given in the enclosed Statement-Ii (See below)

(c) The Comparative position of the achievements reported by some States/TJTs and actual achievement as pointed out in the Audit Review Report of the Comptroller and Audi tor'General of India for 1984-85 is as under;

Sl. No.	Name of the State/U T.	Year	Reported by State/ U.T.	Actual achievement as per Audit Review Report
1	Arunachal Pradesh . .	1981-82	195 ha.	90 ha.
2	Himachal Pradesh . .	1980-81	1.81 lakhs tree plantation.	..
3	Madhya Pradesh . .	1982-83 to 1983-84	22,810 ha.	21,617 ha.
		1981-82 to 1983-84	922.98 lakh seedlings distributed.	348.79 lakh seedlings distributed.
4	Meghalaya . . .	1981-82 to 1982-83	13.39 lakh seedlings distributed.	0.74 lakh seedlings distributed.
5	Mizoram	1982-83	4,000 ha. plantation.	3,245 ha. plantation.
6	Punjab	1981-82 to 1984-85	1,506 ha. plantation.	10,355 ha. plantation.

(d) details of the funds diverted by States to other purposes as per the Audit Review Report 1984-85 are as under:

(*Rs. in lakhs*)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/U.T.	F und diverteds
1	Bihar	0·50
2	Gujarat	19·89
3	Haryana	19·19
4	Himachal Pradesn . .	6·34
5	Madhya Prades . .	7·82
6	Manipur	3·80
7	Meghalaya . . .	2·51
8	Mizoram	12·06
9	Rajasthan . . .	0·55
10	Uttar Pradesh . . .	5·99
	TOTAL :	78·65

(e) The State of Jammu arid Kash-lakhs in 190-B1, but it did not imple-grant has however, been utilised by scheme during 1985-86.

mir was given an assistance of Rs. 22 ment the scheme till 1984-85. The the State on implementation of the

Statement-I

Physical financial achievement during the year 1984-85 *under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme 'Social Forestry including Rural Fuelwood Plantations'*

Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT.	Plantation raised (in ha.)	Seedling distributed (in lakh nos.)	Earmarked amount (Rs. in lakhs).	Central Assistance released (Rs. in lakhs).
1	Andhra Pradesh	4823	237·07	100·00	85·47
2	Assam	4600	225·00	90·00	90·93
3	Bihar	7870	8·60	150·00	102·36
4	Gujarat	3500	197·00	80·00	96·43
5	Haryana	2500	62·50	90·00	45·00
6	Himachal Pradesh	3810	36·58	90·00	67·70
7	Jammu and Kashmir	Not implemented		20·00	..
8	Karnataka	3700	200·00	90·00	51·91
9	Kerala	1511·42	100·00	60·00	144·66
10	Madhya Pradesh	8892	348·25	170·00	176·26
11	Maharashtra	936·76	140·42	70·00	35·00
12	Manipur	1200	15·00	30·00	23·34
13	Meghalaya	500	10·93	30·00	15·00
14	Nagaland	4225	40·00	50.00	47·39
15	Orissa	6846	66·25	90.00	91·15
16	Punjab	2500	100·04	90·00	50·06
17	Rajasthan	8175	102·00	150·00	102·03
18	Sikkim	500	8·00*	20·00	10·84
19	Tamil Nadu	4566·77	132·86	80·00	83·30
20	Tripura	3819	20·00	30·00	29.95
21	Uttar Pradesh	7370	408·05	120·00	165·22
22	West Bengal	1810	63·00	50.00	25·77
	Union Territories :				
23	Arunachal Pradesh	1052	1·53	30·00	15·00
24	Delhi	508	7·65	15·00	16·68
25	Goa, Daman and Diu	150	..	15·00	..
26	Mizoram	5000	4·00	90·00	248·41
	TOTAL :	90364·95 or say 90365	2534·73	1900·00	1819·86

*Yet to be confirmed from State Government.

Statement-II

Details of the Utilisation Certificates

Position of Utilisation Certificate	Name of States/UTs.
Received for the period upto 1984-85 .	(1) Assam, (2) Gujarat, (3) Himachal Pradesh, (4) Maharashtra, (5) Manipur, (6) Meghalaya, (7) Punjab, (8) Rajasthan, (9) Sikkim, (10) Arunachal Pradesh, (11) Delhi, (12) Goa, Daman and Diu and (13) Mizoram.
Received for the period upto 1983-84 .	(1) Andhra Pradesh, (2) Bihar and (3) Orissa.
Received for the period upto 1982-83 .	(1) Haryana, (2) Nagaland, (3) Uttar Pradesh and (4) Tripura.
Received for the period upto 1981-82 .	Karnataka.
Not received	(1) Kerala, (2) Madhya Pradesh, (3) Tamil Nadu and (4) West Bengal.

NOTE : (1) The scheme was launched during 1980-81.

(2) 22 States and 4 UTs. are covered under the scheme.

(3) J & K did not implement the scheme during the VI Five Year Plan.

Reported discussions of forestry funds

2151. DR. R. K. PODDAR; Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news items which appeared in the *Telegraph* of 16th June, 1986 under the caption "Forestry funds diverted";

(b) if so, what are the details ir> this regard; and

(c) what action has been taken b> Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY O ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRi Z. R. ANSARI); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The news item is based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year 1984-85 on the centrally sponsored scheme of Social Forestry. The points made in the Report are as follows: —

(i) In some States/UTs., the physical achievements appeared to be less than what was reported by them.

(ii) As a result of incorrect reporting and other factors, excess grant was obtained in some cases by some States/UTs.

(iii) The Ministry released funds to three States without obtaining Utilisation Certificates in I aspect of the central grants released in earlier years.

(iv) In some States/UTs. some funds had been diverted to/were utilised in schemes which are not connected with this schema.

(v) In some States the survival rate of plantations was low, adversely affecting the success of the programme.

(vi) In some States/UTs. the selection of districts was not correctly done.

(vii) Although assistance was providing for monitoring of the scheme, some States/UTs. did not